Dr Brian O'Neill
*West of Scotland Mobility and Rehabilitation Centre,
Southern General Hospital*

Dr Alex Gillespie
Department of Psychology, University of Stirling

Simulating naturalistic instruction: the case for a voice mediated interface for assistive technology for cognition

Abstract

A variety of brain pathologies can result in difficulties performing complex behavioural sequences. Assistive technology for cognition (ATC) attempts support of complex sequences with the aim of reducing disability. Traditional ATCs are cognitively demanding to use and thus have had poor uptake. A more intuitive interface may allow ATCs to reach their potential. Insights from psychological science may be useful to technologists in this area. We propose that an auditory-verbal interface is more intuitive than a visual interface and reduces cognitive demands on users. Two experiments demonstrate a novel ATC, the General User Interface for Disorders of Execution (GUIDE©). GUIDE© is novel because it simulates normal conversational prompting to support task performance. GUIDE© provides verbal prompts and questions and voice recognition allows the user to interact with the GUIDE©. Research with non-cognitively impaired participants and a single participant experiment involving a person with vascular dementia provide support for using interactive auditory-verbal interfaces. Suggestions for the future development of auditory-verbal interfaces are discussed.

Key words

Assistive technology, executive function, GUIDE©, complex behaviour, verbal interface

Difficulties carrying out goal-directed behaviour lead to a high degree of disability for which there exist few treatment options. These difficulties are manifest in groups such as traumatic brain injury (Evans, 2003), schizophrenia (Semkovska *et al*, 2003; Krabbendam *et al*, 1999) learning disability (Cavalier & Ferretti, 1993) and the dementias (Voss & Bullock, 2004), contributing to the high degree of personal care required by persons in these groups.

In samples of those with physical and cognitive disability, the use of assistive technologies is associated with reduced need for personal assistance (Hoenig *et al*, 2003). However, systems that are designed to support cognitive function can often be cognitively demanding and therefore the need for systems to be useable by those with more severe cognitive disability is paramount. (LoPresti *et al*, 2004).

Traditional scheduling technology is complex to use. Diaries require users to recall where they are, remember to enter a prompt, remember to check the diary and monitor task completion. Recipes schedule sub-steps of a goal state. However, they also require decoding of the written steps and information storage in working memory to underpin performance.

The application of digital technology to cognitive rehabilitation has also been limited by the cognitive demands of the interfaces. Personal digital assistants (PDAs) and palmtops are designed to extend the cognitive abilities of those without impairment, yet they have a learning curve, which places them beyond

many with cognitive deficits. Simplified interface designs have allowed those with learning disabilities to benefit from time management software (Davies *et al*, 2002).

Prospective memory aids have benefits in reducing omissions of to-be-performed behaviours. Specifically, text prompts delivered to portable systems such as pagers and mobile phones increase the hit rate of target behaviours (Evans, 2003). These systems are useful for those with intact reading and direction of attention. For those with yet more severe cognitive difficulties we suggest that systems based on auditory-verbal interfaces may be more appropriate.

Initiation, problem-solving, generativity, planning, sequencing, organisation, self-monitoring, error correction and behavioural inhibition are functions that are taken on by the carer if they are deficient in the cared-for. The basic cognitive abilities that the patient must possess in order to gain from this instruction are language comprehension, maintenance of a single command in mind and verbally mediated motor programming. Carers successfully support, or scaffold, individuals with executive dysfunction in carrying out activities of daily living by providing instruction (Gitlin *et al*, 2002). In this sense, carers are often acting as highly refined 'assistants for cognition'. Our aim has been to try and simulate the verbal scaffolding and guidance that is provided by carers.

Executive function, language and scaffolding

Developmental psychologists studying executive function emphasise its basis in language, and more particularly private speech (ie. speech directed at self rather than an interlocutor). This developmental account was initially proposed by Vygotsky and Luria (1930/1994; Luria, 1961). Although language evolved for communication, Vygotsky and Luria speculate that it has a secondary function enabling humans to talk themselves through complex tasks, thus facilitating the execution of complex purposive and planned behaviour.

Research on child development supports a relation between language and executive function (Hughes, 1996, 2002; Barkley, 1997; Jones *et al*, 2003; Zelazo *et al*, 2003). Children engage in private speech when problem solving and this increases in probability with increasing task complexity (Berk & Garvin, 1984, Frauenglass & Diaz, 1985). There is also a prevalence of private speech problems among children who have attentional and behavioural disorders (Winsler *et al*, 2000). Private speech abnormalities are also associated with poor task performance (Frauenglass & Diaz, 1985). In adults without cognitive impairment, introducing a secondary task that

disrupts private speech affects performance on a planning task (Phillips *et al*, 1999).

Vygotsky and Luria proposed that the child initially has minimal executive control, and that executive control is that provided by other people, such as parents and carers. The verbal and visual actions of these carers guide the child's behaviour, providing a 'scaffold' (Berk & Winsler, 1995; Gillespie, 2006; Zittoun *et al*, 2007). There are activities that the child is unable to perform alone, but is able to achieve with appropriate guidance. Such activities constitute the 'zone of proximal development' and scaffolding operates in this zone. The scaffold comprises mainly of verbal guidance. Verbal guidance is used to direct attention, set sub-goals, initiate monitoring, and correct errors. Children develop through this verbal scaffold by internalising the guidance so that they become able to verbally guide their own behaviour (Gillespie, 2007). The monitoring and regulation of behaviour initially occurs between the child and the carer, but with development becomes an intra-psychological function, namely, executive function.

The relevance of the concepts of scaffolding and the zone of proximal development for rehabilitation have been recognised (Stone, 1998; Young *et al*, 2002). In the same way that there are problems that children are unable to solve alone, but that they are able to solve with verbal guidance, there are people with a variety of cognitive deficits who confront activities of daily living that they are unable to perform alone, but that they are able to complete with appropriate verbal guidance. We suggest that carers are often guiding patients at the limits of the patient's ability, providing cognitive support by using verbal prompts to scaffold patients' executive function.

Developing an auditory-verbal interface

Assistive technologies for cognition, also known as cognitive prosthetics, have the potential to revolutionise the management of cognitive disabilities (Gregor & Newell, 2004). Prospective memory aids facilitate the performance of a behaviour at a time in the future that might otherwise be forgotten. Traditional prospective memory aids are commonly used and include paper notes, diaries, calendars, alarms and reminders (Evans *et al*, 2003). These examples of traditional assistive technology have been augmented in recent years by digital assistive technology. Personal digital organisers and voice recorders can now undertake the function of several of the traditional assistive technologies, such as temporal prompting and thus recall of the to-be-performed behaviour (Kapur *et al*, 2004; Yasuda *et*

al, 2002). Computer systems allow central storage of schedules to be delivered as text prompts at the point when a behaviour requires to be carried out. The effectiveness of pager prompts as used in the proprietary Neuropage system has been demonstrated to increase achievement of target behaviours (Wilson *et al*, 2001). Similarly the MEMEX project, utilising text messaging to mobile phones, has demonstrated effectiveness in improving attendance at appointments and medication compliance (Pijnenborg *et al*, 2007).

LoPresti, Mihailidis and Kirsch (2004) suggest that ATCs have not been achieving their full potential in the main, due to the complexity of the ATC devices. Rather than reducing cognitive load, they often increase the cognitive burden by requiring users to interact with complex and unfamiliar devices. Accordingly, these authors call for future ATC devices to be more sensitive in orienting to their cognitively impaired users (see also, Scherer, 2001).

Based on our review of the available ATCs we argue that they are biased toward visual interfaces. Visual interfaces are attention demanding. Attentional function predicts the use of cognitive aids in a sample of people with acquired brain injury (Evans *et al*, 2003). Yet the main ATCs, such as Neuropage (Wilson *et al*, 2001) and MemoJog (Inglis *et al*, 2003), require users to interact with the ATC device via a screen. Users usually receive information via the screen, and give feedback to the device via the screen. Visual interfaces are good if the user's visual system is free and the user is familiar with such interaction. However, in cases where a user is engaged in a task (such as dressing, food preparation, transfer or donning a limb) interacting with a computer screen entails a shift of visual attention and an interruption of the ongoing task, thus increasing the cognitive load of the task. Where users are unfamiliar with computers, the device will also be unintuitive contributing to low uptake.

We argue, on the basis of the literature on scaffolding, that an effective way forward is for ATCs to model the cognitive support provided by carers, or 'assistants for cognition'. First, the cognitive scaffolding provided by carers is verbal, not visual, and thus does not lead the patients' visual attention away from the task at hand. Second, given the evidence presented about the close relationship between executive function and language, we speculate that prompting in the verbal medium rather than the visual medium provides a more direct augmentation of executive function. Third, the verbal guidance provided by carers is in a familiar mode of interaction, namely, communicative interaction, and

thus there is no learning curve. Finally, the scaffolding provided by carers is task-focused and tailored to the individual, that is to say each verbal prompt is contextually relevant to the immediate sub-goal that the user is engaged in. Written instructions, flow charts or diagrams, on the other hand, often present all the prompts and guidance at the same time, for example on the one sheet of paper or flow chart. Such information overload is avoided in the auditory medium because the linearity inherent in the auditory medium ensure that only one prompt is presented at a time.

GUIDE©

We have developed an ATC that simulates the type of guidance provided by carers. The device is called GUIDE© – General User Interface for Disorders of Execution. The GUIDE© uses the most generalisable interface known for guiding users through complex tasks, namely verbal guidance. The GUIDE© prompts users, asks users questions and accepts verbal responses. The GUIDE© uses the verbal responses to direct the deployment of subsequent prompts and questions. Delivering prompts and questions to users verbally (rather than visually) entails less cognitive load, and does not require users to switch task-focus (such as shifting from task to viewing a screen or a card). The GUIDE© is designed to augment task focus, not to interrupt it. The GUIDE© does not disempower users, by undermining their agency, rather the GUIDE© empowers users. The GUIDE© prompts users with simple questions, requiring the user to engage in the task in order to answer. The range of answers that the GUIDE© accepts is deliberately limited, so as to reduce the cognitive load. An assumption is that verbal responses are comparatively easy for users, requiring the least cognitive load and are similar to verbal interactions with carers

The GUIDE© is in the tradition of COACH (Mihailidis *et al*, 2004) in that it is focused upon a particular task and uses verbal prompts. The GUIDE© incorporates Mihailidis, Barbenel and Fernie's (2004, p166) recommendations for constructing a verbal prompting system: prompts are provided at different levels of detail, prompts are tailored to the individual user, prompts only pertain to one action at a time, and prompts are phrased in a terminology that is familiar. The GUIDE© also goes beyond these recommendations by virtue of attempting explicitly to simulate the scaffolding support provided by carers. The prompts used by the GUIDE© are modelled on the prompts provided by the carers. Users interact with the GUIDE© in the same way that they do with

carers, namely, verbal exchange. Specifically, this means that many of the prompts are actually questions, and these questions require a verbal response from the user. The questions engage the user and are intended to stimulate and augment, rather than command.

Research on a range of ATCs has tended to conclude that generic systems come into problems both due to the particularities of the task to be achieved and particularities of the patient (Cole, 1999; Mihailidis *et al*, 2004). In order to avoid such problems protocols are carefully constructed to address the task to be achieved by the user.

GUIDE© has four components: hardware, voice recognition software, a protocol or decision tree used to 'scaffold' users behaviour, and the GUIDE© software programme that co-ordinates the parts.

(1) Hardware

The GUIDE© prototype software runs on Windows XP enabled PCs. It can be run on laptops, PDAs or desktops.

Additionally, there needs to be hardware to enable audio presentation to the user and audio inputs from the user. This can be done using a high-quality wireless (Bluetooth or DECT) headset (with earphone and microphone). This can also be done without anything worn on the body of the user, using an array microphone and normal speaker, built into a countertop box.

(2) Voice recognition software

The GUIDE© monitors the user's progress by verbally prompting and asking questions, and receiving verbal answers. In order to receive verbal input the computer needs to run voice recognition software. There is now a range of voice recognition software available. We have found Dragon Naturally Speaking 9.5, the best option. Training in using the voice recognition software takes only a minute or two – enough time for the user to say each of the five commands three or four times.

(3) GUIDE© software

The GUIDE© itself is a specially designed software programme that can take input from the voice recognition programme, and use this to trigger pre-recorded samples, thus providing prompts, asking questions, and responding to user input. At the heart of the GUIDE© is a decision tree or protocol especially designed for the given task (such as transfer, donning a limb, or dressing). The GUIDE© is written in the Pure Data programming language. Pure Data is an object-oriented programming environment that is optimised for real-time audio processing and user interaction and ideally suited for prototyping interactive software.

Technically, the GUIDE© software comprises three modules. The core module is the protocol or decision tree. When the programme is initiated, the user is at the beginning of the protocol. The user then progresses through the protocol by responding to prompts and questions. The second module is designed to play audio files, or segments of audio files. As the GUIDE© progresses through the protocol, it requests the audio module to play relevant samples, which the user hears as prompts and questions. The third module is designed to receive input from the voice recognition software. The voice recognition software outputs via the keyboard, and this third module monitors the keyboard searching for predefined strings of symbols that correspond to the voice commands used to control the GUIDE©.

(4) The protocol

The protocol, a decision tree or action pathway module within the GUIDE© software is a carefully crafted sequence of steps and checks which can guide users to successful completion of the given task. The success of the GUIDE© is almost completely dependent upon having a rigorous protocol, that will 'scaffold' the executive function of users, make the most of the self-monitoring skills that they have, and manage to lead users toward the goal, without leading them into error.

To date we have developed protocols for making tea, making a smoothie, limb donning and transfer from a wheelchair to a bed. In each case the protocol has been developed through extensive consultation and research. Consultation with occupational therapists, experts, carers and physiotherapists has been used to identify the most effective sequence of behaviours for the given task. Observation of users both aided and unaided engaging in the behaviour has also been undertaken to identify possible mistakes and deviations from the desired sequence. This analysis was used to build checks into the GUIDE© and make it robust to errors and deviations. Finally, the protocol has been based on observation of users actually using the GUIDE©. These observations have enabled us to modify the steps, and add in additional steps so as to guard against mistakes.

In order to develop our argument that audio-verbal interfaces are particularly suited to ATCs that target behavioural sequences that require visual attention, we present data from two recent studies using GUIDE© (Harper *et al*, submitted; O'Neill & Gillespie, unpublished). The first presents the results of a study of adults without cognitive impairment carrying out a

novel task with a distracting secondary task comparing GUIDE© use with following written instructions. The second presents a case study of a man with vascular dementia carrying out a self-care behaviour, which he failed to acquire in rehabilitation as usual.

Study 1: Using GUIDE© with non-impaired adults
Design
A between-participants design was used with participants randomly allocated to two groups. The task was to make an elaborate smoothie. One group received only written instructions while the other group received the same instructions via the GUIDE©. Periodic distraction was simulated in both conditions with a random number generation task.

Participants
Twenty-six participant sample of convenience (mean age 26; range19 to 43; 17 males, 9 females) randomly allocated to one of two groups. The written instruction group comprised 10 males and three females. The GUIDE© group comprised seven males and six females. No significant age differences existed between the groups.

Procedure
Before beginning the task participants were trained in the use of GUIDE© for about 10 minutes. Training of the voice recognition software also occurred at this time. The five commands were repeated 15 times each to reach an adequate level of recognition. The task occurred in a kitchen with all equipment and ingredients present. During the smoothie making task, participants were asked to generate a random number between 34 and 43 every 30 seconds, throughout the experiment.

Scoring
Three indices of performance were independently rated: Percentage of steps completed successfully; frequency of hesitations, other than when reading or listening to prompts, of greater duration than 10 seconds; and mean time per step of the task. Inter-rater reliability, measured with Pearson's correlation coefficient was high for number of correct steps (r=0.92, p<.001), for deviations (r=0.95, p<.001) and for hesitations (r=.73, p<.001).

Following completion of the task, participants completed a questionnaire designed to assess the participants' feelings towards the method of instruction they experienced, whether written instructions or the GUIDE© device. This questionnaire was scored by counting the total number of positive and negative comments reported by the participant.

Results
Table 1 shows the group means for percentage of correct steps and incorrect steps, number of hesitations and average time per step.

For the number of steps completed correctly, the GUIDE© performed significantly better than the written instructions (ANOVA, F(1)=6.375, p<0.05). The number of hesitations shown by users of the GUIDE© was significantly fewer than those shown by the group following written instructions (F(1)=12.084, p<0.05). The average time per step was not significantly different, however there was a trend towards the GUIDE© steps being completed more quickly than those in the written instruction group (F(1)=1.326, p>0.05). The mean number of positive comments given in the questionnaires was 2.5 for the GUIDE© (SD 1.31) and 1.0 for the written instructions (SD 1.04). This was significantly different (F(1)=9.581, p<0.05).

Study 2: Using GUIDE© with a cognitively impaired user
Participant
A 67-year-old man (BB) who underwent bilateral transtibial amputations due to peripheral arterial disease and comorbid diabetes a year prior to this intervention. Following amputation he had received

Table 1   Mean and standard deviation scores for key measures

Measure	The GUIDE©(n=13)		Written instructions (n=13)	
	M	SD	M	SD
Percentage of correct steps	91.03	12.16	74.83	19.67
Percentage of incorrect Steps	8.97	12.16	25.17	19.67
Number of hesitations	1.35	0.99	2.96	1.55
Mean time for each step	1.15	0.29	1.29	0.29
Positive comments	2.50	1.31	1.00	1.04
Negative comments	1.50	1.31	1.50	1.51

outpatient rehabilitation in a specialist centre for post-amputation rehabilitation three times weekly for eight weeks. This consisted of instruction from a physiotherapist on the correct donning of his prosthetic limbs and mobilisation using a variety of supportive walking aids. He consistently made errors in donning his prosthetic limbs and omitted critical safety checks. He was thus advised, by his physiotherapists that he was not to don his prostheses without supervision. A standard neuropsychological assessment (Randolph, 1998) demonstrated impairments across several cognitive domains with visuospatial function, attention and delayed memory lying in the extremely low range.

Provision of visual sequence prompts (a series of client-viewpoint photographs of correct steps) was tried for six limb donning attempts. He was unable to benefit from these. We suspect that this was due to the attentional demands of shifting attention from the current task to the visual cues.

Task

A standardised sequence was developed for prosthetic limb donning, following interview with physiotherapists and prosthetists. This was then instantiated as a computer-stored sequence in GUIDE© and delivered to the patient via wireless headphones.

Design

A single participant baseline-intervention experiment was employed. Baseline data were gathered by video recording on-task behaviour. These videos were scored, using a customised sequence performance scale, for a) percent correct, b) errors of omission, c) deviations from sequence, d) repetitions and e) time to fit limb to give data points for statistical analysis (Semkovska *et al*, 2003). Inter-rater reliability for this measure ranged from 0.73 to 0.77 across the indices of the measure. The intervention trials were randomly allocated within the sequence of trials.

Results

The participant's performance scores by trial are shown in **figures 2** and **3**. On the x axis one can see the trial number, separated into baseline on the left and intervention on the right. BB was on average 81% correct in the baseline and 94% correct on the intervention trials. An exact probability test for randomised trials (Todman & Dugard, 2001) found that GUIDE© use was associated with statistically significant reductions in repetitions of previous steps (p<0.05) and omissions of sequence steps (p<0.05). Reduction in deviations from the ideal sequence approached significance (p=0.061). Time to don the prostheses was reduced from a mean of 12 minutes in baseline to 9.25 minutes on GUIDE© trials. An efficiency index (total correct/total time) also changed significantly between conditions (p<0.05).

Discussion

Both of our preliminary studies show benefits of using a voice mediated prompting system over sequence performance without. This was in the context of imposed distractors in study 1 and in a

Figure 2   Sequence performance repetitions by condition

Figure 3   Sequence performance omissions by condition

participant with cognitive impairment in study 2. Taken together, these studies show the feasibility of using an auditory-verbal interface in ATC design for contexts of high distraction and cognitive impairment.

The GUIDE© demonstrates potential as a rehabilitation tool. Cognitive problems compromise rehabilitation outcome in conditions such as cerebrovascular accident (Paolucci *et al*, 1996) and post-amputation (O'Neill, 2008). For many of these conditions rehabilitation is labour intensive due to the need for repeated instruction or supervision to prevent errors. Computer assisted guidance of repeated instruction could thus allow patients to engage autonomously in rehabilitation relevant tasks.

The success of the GUIDE©, we suggest, stems from the verbal interface. While the mode of verbal interaction is novel for ATCs, it is familiar for users. BB adapted to the use of the GUIDE© in the first session. While many other ATCs have reported difficulties in training users and long learning curves (LoPresti *et al*, 2004), we suspect this has been due to the lack of familiarity with the interface. A second advantage with the verbal interface, which we argued in the introduction, is that it provides a relatively direct route to augmenting executive function because there is evidence to suggest that executive function is in fact heavily verbally mediated. The success of the GUIDE© is consistent with such an association. Moreover, during the trials when BB was not using the GUIDE© the researchers noted an increased tendency for BB to talk himself through the

task. This speech was loud enough to be heard by the researchers. Such utterances are in line with research that has shown that children facing a complex task tend to talk themselves through the task (Berk & Garvin, 1984; Vygotsky & Luria, 1994; Winsler & Naglieri, 2003), thus again supporting the link between executive function and verbal mediation which the GUIDE© is based upon.

All users in the above studies showed a good tolerance of the system. In study 1 more positive comments were made with regards to the GUIDE© than to the written instructions. It is hypothesised that a verbal guidance system is tolerated because the delivery of prompts is similar to the experience of private speech, which underpins normal problem solving (Phillips *et al*, 1999). BB commented after some trials using the GUIDE© '*How did I do?*' or '*I did well there*'. This contrasted with his previous thanking of the instructor or making disparaging comments about them in the event of poor performance. It thus appears likely that persons using the system may be able to attribute success to the actions of the self rather than to the system. This promisingly indicates that users may experience personal satisfaction attaining a goal using the system, despite being computer aided to do so.

The acceptability of having a sequence step cued by a verbal stimulus appears good. What is less clear is the acceptability of making verbal responses to control cue deployment. Each of the participants performed the focal task alone apart from the

presence of the experimenter and it remains a possibility that users may feel self-conscious if using the system in earshot of others. Future research is needed to examine the extent to which using voice-mediated technologies in public settings is perceived as stigmatising.

Not wishing to use strategies or aids which draw attention to the user has been highlighted as an issue in previous studies (Fluharty & Priddy 1993). Low visibility of support is therefore important. However, the fact that auditory-prompting devices do not requiring visual consultation or highly visible carer support may actually mean that they can be secreted with ease.

The emulation of carers' verbal guidance of behaviour in the field of assistive technology for cognition is rare. Orpwood, Adlam, Gibbs and Hagan (2001) used recorded carers' voices to remind persons with dementia of omissions triggered by sensors. For example a bath sensor triggered the recording: '*Don't forget you've left the bath running mum*'. Literature search did not elicit any research assessment of the effectiveness of such devices although the initial case studies are promising. To our knowledge, our system is the first to accept users' verbal responses to control

output. We would argue that some control of the output is an important feature. Being unable to control the voice directing behaviour may be noxious and disempowering. Perception of an assistive technology is an important determinant of use (eg. Scherer, 2001) and we argue that the acceptability of devices, (eg. monitoring systems, prompters etc.) particularly where awareness of memory problems may limited, (Prigatano & Schacter, 1991) should be to the fore of any assessment of their efficacy.

The current cohort of older adults comprises a distinct group that stands to benefit from autonomy augmenting technologies yet have limited experience with use of digital technologies. The acceptability of PDAs and other such digital devices, in terms of personal identity remains to be explored. Comparison of acceptability of visual and verbal interfaces is also unexplored. The perception of voice outputs has received some research attention. With the majority of a sample of older adults preferring natural voices over synthetic and male voices over female (Lines & Hone, 2002).

The studies reported here demonstrate the orthotic function of the system. Performance-with was only

Hardware including laptop and Bluetooth headset

compared to performance-without and there was no examination of learning effects. However, for individuals with better memory function the system might also restore performance of complex sequences. Errorless learning, wherein performance errors are minimised for the memory-impaired learner, has evidence to suggest efficacy in teaching ordered semantic information (Kessels & De Haan, 2003) and behavioural sequences (Maxwell *et al,* 2001). The system can guide error free performance and might thus be used to support errorless learning. Directions can be gradually replaced by question prompts with these faded out in turn, incrementally increasing the amount to be recalled.

The range of potential applications is difficult to estimate but appears wide. All complex behavioural sequences, which can be verbally described, are open to the application. For future research we suggest identifying and then augmenting those behaviours which formal and informal carers are currently providing verbal scaffolding for. In depth analysis of carer providers as 'assistants for cognition' might provide further clues as to the most appropriate modes of questioning and prompting. Also, studies of the self-talk that normally accompanies the performance of a complex behavioural sequence are needed. The motivation for this research is to construct the simplest and most intuitive interface for ATCs. To this end, the future development of ATC interfaces, we suggest, should focus upon the auditory-verbal interface to simulate both carer instruction and the internal verbal control of sequence performance.

Address for correspondence

Dr Brian O'Neill
West of Scotland Mobility and Rehabilitation Centre
Southern General Hospital
1345 Govan Road
Glasgow G51 4TF, UK
Tel: + 44 141 2012391
Fax: + 44 141 2012649
Email: brian.oneill@sgh.scot.nhs.uk

References

Barkley R A (1997) *ADHD and the Nature of Self-control*. New York: The Guilford Press.

Berk LE & Garvin RA (1984) Development of private speech among low-income Appalachian children. *Developmental Psychology* **20** 271–286.

Berk LE & Winsler A (1995) *Scaffolding Children's Learning: Vygotsky and early childhood education*. Washington: National Association for the Young Children.

Cavalier AR & Ferretti RP (1993) The use of an intelligent cognitive aid to facilitate the self-management of vocational skills by high school students with severe learning disabilities. In: *RESNA'93 Annual Conference*. Arlington, Virginia: RESNA Press.

Cole E (1999) Cognitive prosthetics: an overview to a method of treatment. *NeuroRehabilitation* **12** 39–51.

Davies D, Stock S & Wehmeyer M (2002) Enhancing independent time-management skills in those with mental retardation using a palmtop personal computer. *Mental Retardation* **40** (5) 358–365.

Evans JJ (2003) Rehabilitation of executive deficits. In: BA Wilson (Ed) *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*. Abingdon: Swets and Zeitlinger.

Evans JJ, Wilson BA, Needham P, & Brentnall S (2003) Who makes good use of memory aids? Results of a survey of people with acquired brain injury. *Journal of the International Neuropsychology Society* **9** 925–935.

Fluharty G & Priddy D (1993) Methods of increasing client acceptance of a memory book. *Brain Injury* **7** 85–88.

Frauenglass MH & Diaz RM (1985) Self-regulatory functions of children's private speech: a critical analysis of recent challenges to Vygotsky's theory. *Developmental Psychology* **21** 357–364.

Gillespie A (2006) Games and the development of perspective taking. *Human Development* **49** 87–92.

Gillespie A (2007) The social basis of self-reflection. In: J Valsiner & A Rosa (Eds) *The Cambridge Handbook of Sociocultural Psychology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gitlin L, Winter L, Dennis M, Corcoran M, Schinfeld S & Hauck WW (2002) Strategies used by families to simplify tasks for individuals with Alzheimer's disease and related disorders: psychometric analysis of the task management strategy index (TMSI). *The Gerontologist* **42** 61–70.

Gregor P & Newell A (2004) Introduction. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **14** 1–3.

Harper M, Tyler B, O'Neill B & Gillespie A (submitted) Interactive auditory guidance is superior to written instructions for novel complex task. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*.

Hoenig H, Taylor D & Sloan F (2003) Does assistive technology substitute for personal assistance in the disabled elderly? *American Journal of Public Health* **93** (2) 330–337.

Hughes C (1996) Control of action and thought: normal development and dysfunction in autism– a research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **37** 229–236.

Hughes C (2002) Executive functions and development: emerging themes. *Infant and Child Development* **11** 201–209.

Inglis E, Szymkowiak A, Gregor P, Newell A, Hine N, Shah P, Evans JJ & Wilson BA (2003) Issues surrounding the user-centred development of a new interactive memory aid. *Universal Access in the Information Society* **2** (3) 226–234.

Jones LB, Rothbart MK, & Posner MI (2003) Development of executive attention in preschool children. *Developmental Science* **6** (5) 498–504.

Kapur N, Glisky EL & Wilson BA (2004) Technological memory aids for people with memory deficits. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **14** 41–60.

Kessels RPC & de Haan EHF (2003) Implicit learning in memory rehabilitation: a meta-analysis on errorless learning and vanishing cues methods. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology* **25** 805–814.

Krabbendam L, de Vugt ME, Derix MM & Jolles J (1999) The behavioural assessment of the dysexecutive syndrome as a tool to assess executive functions in schizophrenia. *Clinical Neuropsychology* **13** 370–375.

Lines L & Hone KS (2002) Older adults' evaluations of speech output. *Proceedings of the Fifth International ACM Conference on Assistive Technologies. Edinburgh, Scotland*. New York: ACM.

LoPresti E, Mihailidis A & Kirsch N (2004) Assistive technology for cognitive rehabilitation: state of the art. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **14** (1/2) 5–39.

Luria A (1961) *The role of speech in the regulation of normal and abnormal behaviour*. London: Pergamon Press.

Maxwell J, Masters R, Kerr E & Weedon E (2001) The implicit benefits of learning without errors. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* **54** 1049–1068.

Mihailidis A, Barbenel JC & Fernie G (2004) The efficacy of an intelligent cognitive orthosis to facilitate handwashing by persons with moderate to severe dementia. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **14** 135–171.

O'Neill B (2008) Cognition and mobility rehabilitation following lower limb amputation. In: Gallagher, Desmond & MacLachlan (Eds) *Psychoprosthetics: State of the Knowledge*. London: Springer.

O'Neill B & Gillespie A (unpublished) *Theory and Efficacy of a Voice mediated Assistive Technology for Gognition: A case report*.

Orpwood R, Adlam T, Gibbs C & Hagan S (2001) User-centred design of support devices for people with dementia for use in a Smart House. In: CMarincek, C Buhler, H Knops & R Andrich (Eds) *Assistive Technology – Added value to the quality of life*. Amsterdam: IOS Press.

Paolucci S, Antonucci G, Guariglia C, Magnotti L, Pizzamiglio L & Zoccolotti P (1996) Facilitatory effect of neglect rehabilitation on the recovery of left hemiplegic stroke participants: a cross-over study. *Journal of Neurology* **243** 308–396.

Phillips L, Wynn V, Gilhooly K, Della Sala S & Logie R (1999) The role of memory in the tower of London task. *Memory* **7** 209–231.

Pijnenborg GH, Withaar FK, Evans JJ, van den Bosch RJ & Brouwer WH (2007) SMS text messages as a prosthetic aid in the cognitive rehabilitation of schizophrenia. *Rehabilitation Psychology* **52** 236–240.

Prigatano GP & Schacter DL (1991) *Awareness of Deficit after Brain Injury: Clinical and theoretical issues*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Randolph C (1998) *Repeatable Battery for Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS)*. London: Harcourt Assessment.

Scherer MJ (2001) *Assistive Technology: Matching device and consumer for successful rehabilitation*. Washington: American Psychological Association.

Semkovska M, Bedard MA, Godbout L, Limoge F & Stip E (2003) Assessment of executive dysfunction during activities of daily living in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **69** 289–300.

Stone CA (1998) The metaphor of scaffolding: its utility for the field of learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities* **331** 344–364.

Todman JB & Dugard P (2001) *Single Case and Small-n Experimental Designs: A practical guide to randomisation tests*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Voss S & Bullock R (2004) Executive function: the core feature of dementia? *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders* **18** 207–216.

Vygotsky LS & Luria A (1930/1994) Tool and symbol in child development. In: R Van de Veer & J Valsiner (Eds) *The Vygotsky Reader*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wilson BA, Emslie HC, Quirk K & Evans JJ (2001) Reducing everyday memory and planning by means of a paging system: a randomized control crossover study. *Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry* **70** 477–482.

Winsler A, Diaz RM, Atencio DJ, McCarthy EM & Chabay LA (2000) Verbal self-regulation over time in preschool children at risk for attention and behavior problems. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **41** 875–886.

Winsler A & Naglieri J (2003) Overt and covert verbal problem-solving strategies: developmental trends in use, awareness and relations with task performance in children aged 5 to 17. *Child Development* **74** 659–678.

Yasuda K, Misu T, Beckman B, Watanabe O, Ozawa Y & Nakamura T (2002) Use of an IC recorder as a voice output memory aid for patients with prospective memory impairment. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **12** 155–166.

Young DA, Zakvanis KK, Campbell Z, Freyslinger MG & Meichenbaum DH (2002) Scaffolded instruction remediates Wisconsin Card Sorting Test deficits in schizophrenia: a comparison to other techniques. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation* **12** 257–287.

Zelazo PD, Muller U, Frye D & Marcovitch S (2003) The development of executive function in early childhood. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* **68** vii–137.

Zittoun T, Gillespie A, Cornish F & Psaltis C (2007) The metaphor of the triangle in theories of human development. *Human Development* **50** 208–229.